

MINUTES OF MEETING

Meeting Number 33

CLG	Kedron Community Liaison Group				
Location:	Visitor Information Centre, Lutwyche	Date:	14 September 2011	Time:	6:30pm

These minutes are not intended to be a verbatim account of the CLG meeting but are a summary of the key issues raised, actions arising from the meeting and outcomes of actions raised at previous meetings

Item	Action	Whom
	<p>Attendees:</p> <p>CLG members - Kate Macdonald (KM), Michael Brown (MB), Maria Lawson (ML), Anastasia Dick (AD), Diane Curtin (DC),</p> <p>Project Personnel –Deirdre McCue (DMC) – TJH, Kenneth Fyfe (KF)-TJH, –TJH, Jodie Grant (JG) – TJH, Emma Scarborough (ES) – TJH, Louise Litchfield (LL) – CNI, Maria Bone (MB) – CNI, David Rankin (DR)–BCS, Barrie Spring (BS) - facilitator, Jess Duncan – Minute Taker Lauren Diamond (LD) – CNI, Kerry Wasstell (KW) – CNI; Gary Briggs (GB) Area Manager TJH; Georgina Thrum(GT) – TJH, John Heilig (JH) – Drill & Blast Consultant for the Airport Link.</p>	
	<p>Welcome and apologies</p> <p>The Facilitator opened the meeting and apologies were noted from:</p> <p>Nicola Mitchell (NM)-TJH, Chris Hansen (CH), Elizabeth Rowland (ER), Derek Matthews (DM)</p>	
	<p>Action items:</p> <p>Action Item 1: Report back to a future meeting with a schedule of roads required to be repaired by the project Remains open on the forward agenda.</p> <p>A CLG Member advised the Councillor King has also been chasing this information since March.</p> <p>Action Item 2: CNI to advise when the information re bus stop locations will be available for presentation CNI advised they hope the information will be available for the October or November CLG Meeting.</p> <p>Action Item 3: TJH to follow up regarding the trucks not being covered and not displaying the appropriate signage. TJH advised that any spoil truck needs to display flags. TJH have followed up with the traffic team and are constantly providing flags to contractors for display. The issue is constantly reiterated with contractors. Representatives from the haulage companies also monitor the trucks.</p> <p>ACTION: TJH to continue monitor haulage trucks to ensure they are covered and displaying the appropriate flags.</p> <p>Action Item 4: TJH report back on where and when a particular concrete pour was occurring on an occasion that was referred to by a CLG member at the August meeting. TJH advised an email was sent to the member on 16th August and an explanation was provided. The location was utilised for a pour in the tunnel network via Swan St. There was some confusion amongst drivers about the route. This was investigated and trucks were rerouted as soon as TJH were made aware of the situation.</p> <p>A CLG Member advised that she does not understand how the trucks were accessing the tunnel from Swan St as they went down the street and had no way to get into the tunnel</p>	<p>MB</p> <p>LL</p> <p>DMC</p> <p>JG</p> <p>KM</p>

MINUTES OF MEETING

Meeting Number 33

CLG	Kedron Community Liaison Group				
Location:	Visitor Information Centre, Lutwyche	Date:	14 September 2011	Time:	6:30pm

These minutes are not intended to be a verbatim account of the CLG meeting but are a summary of the key issues raised, actions arising from the meeting and outcomes of actions raised at previous meetings

	<p>but they never came back up the street. It was noted last meeting they could not access the tunnel and she cannot understand where they went for the tunnel pour and how did the trucks access it from Swan St.</p> <p>TJH advised there is a lot of tunnel concrete work that is done, through the gate opposite Gate 2. TJH are not sure if it was a full or empty truck using Swan St. Empty trucks will exit the site by coming out via Gympie and Stafford Roads at Gate 2. If they are turning into Swan St from Gympie Rd they may be returning to their base.</p> <p>Member advised the trucks were coming down Jack St, down Swan Street from Shamrock. There is no way this is possible without accessing Goulburn St to exit due to the number of street closures.</p> <p>TJH showed the member on an iPad the routes the trucks had been using to return to their depot. GB agreed that it was possible that some trucks had taken that route but was not the preferred route.</p> <p>Member advised this had continued for a long time and a number of complaints were made.</p> <p>Facilitator asked if there are any further problems.</p> <p>Member advised there are no current issues with concrete trucks, there are issues however with cranes using small residential streets. Member further noted that trucks were using Swan Street on a Monday night.</p> <p>A CLG Member noted that trucks are using Homebush Road although they are not meant to. Member feels this is as the drivers are paid by the load and are therefore taking shortcuts. Resident's have notified the concrete companies who say there is no issue as they have not been contacted by TJH.</p> <p>Action Item 5: TJH to contact the member regarding house cleaning.</p> <p>TJH advised they have sent a letter to residents in the Perry St area offering an external house clean in September or October or they have the option to wait until the major works are complete at the end of the year and the cleaning can be conducted when it is convenient for the resident.</p> <p>A CLG Member noted that only an external clean was offered and there was not an internal offer. Member feels there should be an internal clean as well and that request has not been answered. Member asked how CNI and TJH can offer a final clean when there are still major works to completed</p> <p>TJH advised that is why the offer was made for residents to have their house cleaned now or at the completion of major work in the area.</p> <p>Member advised there had previously been an offer of an internal and external clean. There is no internal clean offered in the letter and asked why.</p> <p>TJH advised the house cleaning offers they make are dependent on the intensity of the work currently occurring.</p> <p>Member noted there was an exceedance last month. This shows that there are still dust levels that are above requirements and goals. Member feels that would have triggered an internal and external clean. Member noted that cleaning was offered before that exceedance and TJH did say at end of the project there would be a final clean but it was not specified whether it would be internal or external. Member noted that given they were previously offered an internal / external clean she thought it would be logical it be offered at the end of the project.</p> <p>TJH (KF) noted that the conditions regarding dust limits for PM10 must not be exceeded more than 5 times a year.</p> <p>TJH reiterated that any house cleaning done by the company is not required under the CoG conditions. The conditions are health based goals, which accommodate an increase in dust levels due to construction, but they do not consider the nuisance factor of dust from worksites.</p> <p>TJH appreciate residents may experience nuisance even though the project conditions are being</p>	<p>GB</p> <p>KF GB</p> <p>KM</p> <p>MB</p> <p>DMC</p> <p>ML</p> <p>DMC</p> <p>ML</p> <p>DMC</p> <p>ML</p> <p>DMC</p>
--	---	--

MINUTES OF MEETING

Meeting Number 33

CLG	Kedron Community Liaison Group				
Location:	Visitor Information Centre, Lutwyche	Date:	14 September 2011	Time:	6:30pm

These minutes are not intended to be a verbatim account of the CLG meeting but are a summary of the key issues raised, actions arising from the meeting and outcomes of actions raised at previous meetings

	<p>met. All house cleaning provided to residents is done at the discretion of TJH.</p> <p>A CLG Member asked if CNI are happy with this response. CNI (LL) advised that all they can do is administer the conditions. The exceedance at Perry Street was PM10 and is health based and not a breach of any dust nuisance condition. CNI cannot enforce cleaning as it is an offer made by TJH. CNI is supportive of any offers of cleaning.</p> <p>A CLG Member asked how PM10 levels are calculated and if the 5 exceedances are just for the PM10 reading. TJH advised that PM10 is measured over a 24 hour period. Every 24 hours a new test begins. The total amounts are added up and divided by 365.</p> <p>A CLG Member asked if the exceedances are for the whole project or for each site. TJH advised that the exceedance is over a 12 month period for each site.</p> <p>A CLG Member asked if it is 5 exceedances for each site or each monitoring location. TJH confirmed it is for each individual monitoring location.</p> <p>CNI (KW) advised that the ultimate decision is with CoG as there are different interpretations of the goals. CoG & DERM both need to clarify their position before it can be interpreted. CNI regularly speak to DERM and DERM have conducted their own monitoring (it was noted that these results are available on line).</p> <p>A CLG Member asked if the CoG has ever made an appearance at a CLG Meeting A CLG Member (AD) advised that he has attended 2 meetings to her knowledge but that was more to do with proceedings at the meetings.</p> <p>Member asked that the CoG be invited to the November meeting. CNI advised they can raise the issue but the member will need to advise of the questions that will be asked so they can ensure the correct representative attends.</p> <p>Member asked who the current CoG is. CNI advised it is Keith Davies. CNI advised it is unlikely that Mr Davies will attend but it could be possible to have someone from his office in attendance.</p> <p>Member advised that her questions would relate to the conditions not being appropriate to address the impacts of what is happening in the area. CNI advised the member to also write to the CoG directly if she has concerns.</p> <p>ACTION: CNI to follow up on the possibility of the CoG attending one of upcoming CLG Meetings.</p> <p>Action Item 6: TJH to contact the member about the right hand turn at Figgis Street. TJH advised this issue has not been resolved as they were unsure which member to contact. A CLG Member advised she was the member and that the incorrect signage is still in place and people are still making early right hand turns. This Action will remain open until the next meeting.</p> <p>Action Item 7: TJH to follow up the delivery of notifications in Jack Street. TJH advised they have spoken to the distributor, and have also contacted the stakeholders that were missing notifications and hand delivered the missing ones. TJH believe there have been no issues since.</p>	<p>AD MB</p> <p>KM</p> <p>AD</p> <p>KM</p> <p>KW</p> <p>ML AD</p> <p>ML LL</p> <p>LL</p> <p>ML</p> <p>JG DC</p> <p>JG</p>
	<p>Blasting Presentation: John Heilig - Drill & Blast Consultant for the Airport Link.</p> <p>JH made a presentation on the blasting process noting the following points:</p> <p>Videos were played that demonstrated the different types of blasts and some examples of blasting work conducted during the Airport Link construction.</p>	<p>JH</p>

MINUTES OF MEETING

Meeting Number 33

CLG	Kedron Community Liaison Group				
Location:	Visitor Information Centre, Lutwyche	Date:	14 September 2011	Time:	6:30pm

These minutes are not intended to be a verbatim account of the CLG meeting but are a summary of the key issues raised, actions arising from the meeting and outcomes of actions raised at previous meetings

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Residents will notice and experience vibration from blasting People will be affected by the vibration not structures – there is scale which is modified for different structures and location Public perception is based on the misuse of explosives – unless you are associated with blasting it is rare to witness a well controlled blast. Perception governs people’s feelings towards blasting <p>A CLG Member asked JH if he is a psychologist or has qualifications in psychology as there is a theory that perception is reality in psychology. The information provided does not answer the problem that people see blasting as a threat to their security.</p> <p>JH advised that you can measure how the vibration will impact property and to a certain extent how it will be perceived. Blasts are designed to standards that are thought to be acceptable to a vast majority of people although acceptable is a subjective term. JH agreed he was not a psychologist and started to give his qualifications when the member interrupted and did not give JH an opportunity to complete his answer on qualifications.</p> <p>Member asked if that means the answer is no,</p> <p>JH advised the standards applied to the project are tailored to human comfort not structural goals and are based on what is deemed to be acceptable to a vast majority of people.</p> <p>A CLG Member noted that he already feels more reassured but noted that this presentation has only been made to a small group of community representatives and asked if a presentation could be made to a larger part of the community to help allay some of their fears.</p> <p>JH advised that the human body is very good at sensing vibration at very low levels. The levels set for human comfort are significantly lower than those for structural damage.</p> <p>Member noted that when he first felt a blast he thought it was an earthquake.</p> <p>JH advised the vibration levels felt will be relative to the home and where the blast occurred. It is not easy to explain the vibration levels as it is not easy to imagine unless people are intimately associated with drill and blast. Just because you feel a vibration does not mean it is an exceedance or causing property damage.</p> <p>A CLG Member asked in relation to controlled blasting, how do you define controlled. To have a controlled blast there would be criteria, which would change depending on location, type of material etc as long as criteria are followed it is controlled but if you move away from criteria is it uncontrolled.</p> <p>JH advised there are 3 factors in a controlled blast:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ Flyrock (fallout) – requiring an exclusion zone ▲ Over Pressure ▲ Vibration <p>If the above 3 conditions are met it is considered a controlled blast. Over Pressure is not an issue in tunnel blasts.</p> <p>A CLG Member noted that KEdron CLG members had been told it was air pressure causing the vibrations experienced from previous blasts which had been confirmed as tunnel blasting.</p> <p>JH advised that over pressure does not result from a tunnel blast unless you are located at the tunnel portal.</p> <p>TJH advised that the issues attributed to over pressure are from earlier open cut and cover blasting. There have been no issues attributed to over pressure from the current tunnel blasting program.</p> <p>JH advised that Over Pressure acts a bit like a thunder storm overhead rattling ill fitting windows etc it is not damaging to property.</p> <p>A CLG Member confirmed with JH that you would expect the same rattling from over</p>	<p>AD</p> <p>JH</p> <p>AD</p> <p>JH</p> <p>MB</p> <p>JH</p> <p>MB</p> <p>JH</p> <p>KM</p> <p>JH</p> <p>KM</p> <p>JH</p> <p>KM</p>
--	--	---

MINUTES OF MEETING

Meeting Number 33

CLG	Kedron Community Liaison Group				
Location:	Visitor Information Centre, Lutwyche	Date:	14 September 2011	Time:	6:30pm

These minutes are not intended to be a verbatim account of the CLG meeting but are a summary of the key issues raised, actions arising from the meeting and outcomes of actions raised at previous meetings

	<p>pressure that you would from a thunder storm JH advised yes – over pressure can induce secondary vibration in the property but cannot damage it.</p> <p>A CLG Member asked if blasting occurs near old properties is it modified to suit the structure. JH advised that the blast is modified to comply with the vibration criteria for the structure / project based on the nearby structures in the worst condition. The standard does not take into account each individual house/structure as there is a lot of variance in the type of construction, so is based on the one in worst condition. Monitoring is conducted outside the blast area and properties.</p> <p>A CLG Member confirmed with JH that the Airport Link blast standards are based on Human Comfort. JH advised that yes, in Australia all blasts need to meet human comfort standards. Member confirmed that the surrounding structures are not taken into account. JH advised yes. If the blast complies with human comfort standards then it is considered safe for the structure. Assessments are made based on research that is undertaken by standards committees worldwide. Due to the research process being very expensive the same standards are adopted worldwide. German standards do not distinguish between properties: heritage listed properties, residential or commercial.</p> <p>A CLG Member asked if there is the same sort of standard in Australia. The Australian Standards are based on human comfort levels. If these levels are complied with, then there is no risk of superficial or structural damage.</p> <p>Member asked if there is an Australia / New Zealand Standard. JH advised there are separate standards but the NZ one is being replaced by the Australian standard as the NZ standard allows for much higher levels.</p> <p>A CLG Member confirmed with JH that over pressure is the air vibration caused by open blasts that would not cause damage. Member asked if there would also be ground vibration. JH advised yes, you can't have over pressure without ground vibrations.</p> <p>Member asked if the blasts that are occurring now are tunnel blasts and asked if residents would feel any vibration from them. JH advised yes they are tunnel blasts and vibration will be felt.</p> <p>Member noted that the current vibrations are a lot stronger than the over pressure vibrations from the cut and cover blasting. TJH advised the member that the current blasting is a lot closer to her property than the open blasting.</p> <p>JH noted that the biggest impact on the size of vibrations is the distance. It is hard to predict over pressure vibrations due to the geography of landscapes. There is no over pressure vibrations coming from the current blasting</p> <p>Member noted that on Monday she was walking in her apartment and the blast made her fall over and noted that she is concerned about the strength of the vibrations and when talking about the acceptable effects – should that have happened? JH advised it is hard to gauge what a response may be as there is a startle factor involved when you are surprised by the blast.</p> <p>Member noted that she can normally hear the siren – this blast was stronger than the previous ones. JH advised he is surprised the member fell but advised it would not be related to over pressure. JH advised that over pressure moves slower than ground vibrations, so it would have been felt after the initial ground vibrations.</p> <p>Member asked if the ground conditions (wet vs. dry) can have an effect on how the blast</p>	<p>KM</p> <p>JH</p> <p>AD</p> <p>JH</p> <p>AD</p> <p>JH</p> <p>AD</p> <p>JH</p> <p>KM</p> <p>JH</p> <p>KM</p> <p>JH</p> <p>DC</p> <p>JH</p> <p>DC</p> <p>JH</p> <p>DC</p> <p>JH</p> <p>DC</p>
--	---	---

MINUTES OF MEETING

Meeting Number 33

CLG	Kedron Community Liaison Group				
Location:	Visitor Information Centre, Lutwyche	Date:	14 September 2011	Time:	6:30pm

These minutes are not intended to be a verbatim account of the CLG meeting but are a summary of the key issues raised, actions arising from the meeting and outcomes of actions raised at previous meetings

	<p>is perceived. JH advised that yes having wet ground can affect the blast and can make it move faster. The blast would have had the same compliance value as all of the previous blasts, but would have felt stronger to the member as it occurred closer to her home. JH also noted the some blasts are longer than others and this can also affect the perception.</p> <p>A CLG Member asked if everyone was aware of the siren, Most CLG members advised they cannot hear the siren. TJH advised the siren is in place to warn the tunnel workers to stay clear and that a blast is imminent. Member asked if there should be a siren to warn the community members and if there could be a warning given to the community. JH advised it is very hard to gauge perception of the blasts, even if the community has warning.</p> <p>A CLG Member noted that residents have been experiencing some solid blasts so they must be right on the limit. JH advised no, the results are well below the limits.</p> <p>JH continued and made the following points:</p> <p>Constraints on Blasting</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ^ Environmental Management Plan ^ Vibration Legislation and covered the following legislation which is detailed in the attached presentation <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ^ Environmental Protection Regulation 1998 ^ Australian Standard (AS2187.2) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o 1983 o 1993 o 2006 ^ United States (USBM) ^ German Standards (DIN4150) ^ Swedish Standards (SS) ^ Overpressure Legislation ^ Acceptable Effects Based – not nil effects <p>The following points were highlighted in the discussion of Environmental Protection & Regulation in Australia.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ^ Measurements are hard to convey to the public unless they have an understanding of blasting ^ Cosmetic Damage is superficial damage not structural ^ The limits are lower than what will cause superficial damage ^ The acceptable effects criteria are governed by international standards <p>Limits for Blasting.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ^ Protect structural integrity of the surrounding area ^ Protect the area from superficial damage ^ Need to protect storm water drains, telecommunications cables, fibre optic cables etc ^ Human Comfort <p>Vibration Levels</p> <p>Vibration generated from blasting in perspective</p>	<p>JH</p> <p>DC</p> <p>KF</p> <p>ML JH</p> <p>KM</p> <p>JH</p>
--	--	--

MINUTES OF MEETING

Meeting Number 33

CLG	Kedron Community Liaison Group				
Location:	Visitor Information Centre, Lutwyche	Date:	14 September 2011	Time:	6:30pm

These minutes are not intended to be a verbatim account of the CLG meeting but are a summary of the key issues raised, actions arising from the meeting and outcomes of actions raised at previous meetings

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What is 10 to 25 mm/s –this measurement is universal but hard to understand. • How much does the ground move? – Movement is determined by measurement of microns. A sheep’s fleece for example is 20 microns. The movement is not heaving like an earthquake. The smaller the Htz, the less the ground moves. • Can vibration from blasting cause damage – only if it reaches very high levels • How easily can vibration be detected by humans? – Humans are very perceptive and can easily feel very small vibrations. • Damage vs perception and other causes of damage? – There are a number of factors both natural and manmade that can damage a house. <p>A CLG Member advised that she feels this presentation is not answering questions that the community has been asking. She accepts that aging properties will show stresses and strains, but people in her community are afraid.</p> <p>A CLG Member noted that have been told a number of things will not happen and they have, there is a lack of trust within the community.</p> <p>**Facilitator asked if the members wished to carry on with the presentation **</p> <p>A CLG Member advised that the members would like some information on the impact to the community as people are scared. Member asked where CNI are in all of the blast discussions.</p> <p>CNI (LL) advised they are aware of the issues and that they have undertaken an investigation which has been provided to the CoG. CNI can only monitor and provide advice based on the standards provided.</p> <p>A CLG Member stated it appears that CNI are ducking for cover and waiting for someone to commit suicide. Member stated they are concerned that when it gets too much residents will take extreme measures.</p> <p>JH advised the project must adopt a standard. Through research, those standards are considered acceptable to most people in the world. Fatigue can become an issue when there is a lot of blasting occurring. Projects like the Airport Link occur frequently next to built up areas. The vibration residents report is consistent with what would be expected with the blasting in the tunnel networks.</p> <p>CNI noted that the vibration monitoring results will be online in the next day or so. The vibrations felt are also subjective, what feels like a lot to one person may be minimal to another.</p> <p>A CLG Member asked if the vibration results are close to 25mm.</p> <p>TJH advised that no they are not, they are much lower.</p> <p>Member asked if the blast conditions are a goal</p> <p>TJH advised yes there are vibration goals.</p> <p>CNI noted the results have averaged around 2.5mm for the very recent blasts at the nearest monitors.</p> <p>JH advised results will vary depending on the location. Some of the monitors have not been triggered on some occasions are the vibration levels are too low.</p> <p>CNI (KW) advised they have looked into the blasting and vibrations based on complaints received in the last few weeks and have been in contact with TJH and the CoG. The CoG is aware there is community concern.</p> <p>A CLG Member stated that CNI have discussed this with TJH and the CoG, why haven’t they spoken to the community?</p> <p>CNI advised the complaints were taken from the public hotline and that CNI have spoken with</p>	<p>AD</p> <p>MB</p> <p>BS</p> <p>AD</p> <p>LL</p> <p>KM</p> <p>JH</p> <p>KW</p> <p>MB</p> <p>KF</p> <p>ML</p> <p>KF</p> <p>KW</p> <p>JH</p> <p>KW</p> <p>KM</p> <p>LL</p>
--	--	---

MINUTES OF MEETING

Meeting Number 33

CLG	Kedron Community Liaison Group				
Location:	Visitor Information Centre, Lutwyche	Date:	14 September 2011	Time:	6:30pm

These minutes are not intended to be a verbatim account of the CLG meeting but are a summary of the key issues raised, actions arising from the meeting and outcomes of actions raised at previous meetings

	<p>the complainants over the phone and via email. All complaints from the community have been taken on board.</p> <p>A CLG Member added that further to comments and requests for presentation to the community – could the blasting notifications include some of John’s notes about human comfort levels and perception instead of facts and figures. More information about the standards and levels set for human comfort vs damage would help residents understand is ok to feel it and to allay their fears.</p> <p>TJH advised that some of this information is already included in the notifications, but these comments are helpful and would be taken on board for future blast notifications.</p> <p>JH advised that most people will not understand the technical language used to describe the blast movements and measurements.</p> <p>A CLG Member asked if the word perception could stop being used as it is very subjective in its meaning.</p> <p>TJH advised that the word ‘experience’ is more appropriate than ‘perception’ as it acknowledges that people have actually experienced an effect, rather than it being a perception.</p> <p>A CLG Member asked for more of an explanation to be included, such as what level of blasting will be experienced and what level it takes to cause structural damage.</p> <p>A CLG Member noted that Notification 1363 sent out on July 28th regarding what to expect from the drill and blast at Kedron noted: Minimal noise and vibration impact and Notification 1371r on the 8th of September noted that a low rumbling sound may be heard for 5-10 seconds with low level vibration and that a warning siren may be heard.</p> <p>ACTION: TJH to review language used in blast notifications.</p>	<p>MB</p> <p>DMC</p> <p>MB</p> <p>DMC</p> <p>DC</p> <p>KM</p>
	<p>Construction Update</p> <p>Presentation made by Gary Briggs, Area Manager, TJH.</p> <p>Kedron Central Site</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⤴ CC211 works are ongoing with 4 of the 5 base slabs poured. CC211 brings traffic into the airport bound tunnels ⤴ Gympie Road has been realigned ⤴ There are further Gympie Road realignment works to take place late this year / early next year ⤴ Tunnel fit out with MEFT & Electrical is underway ⤴ There is a new bridge going through the Kedron Brook area – piling is complete and the installation of piling caps is underway as well. ⤴ Piling in this area should be finished by the end of September dependent on ground conditions. <p>Gympie Road (Kedron Brook to Arnott Street)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⤴ CC210 drill and blast will continue for the next 2 weeks and it should then be complete. There is one chamber with a 20m face and another that adjoins that chamber. ⤴ Storm water drains will be blasted by the end of September ⤴ Concrete Slabs are being installed 	<p>GB</p>

MINUTES OF MEETING

Meeting Number 33

CLG	Kedron Community Liaison Group				
Location:	Visitor Information Centre, Lutwyche	Date:	14 September 2011	Time:	6:30pm

These minutes are not intended to be a verbatim account of the CLG meeting but are a summary of the key issues raised, actions arising from the meeting and outcomes of actions raised at previous meetings

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⤴ MEFT will begin shortly ⤴ Spoil will be removed via Brookfield Road to Gympie Road ⤴ Fencing needs to be installed, painted, tied up then landscaping can begin. <p>Stafford Road (Gympie Road to Clarence Street)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⤴ Phase 1 is progressing well ⤴ Richmond St work is progressing well. There are two further intersections to be worked on, then work will move to the middle of Stafford Rd into early 2012. . ⤴ These works will run into the new year until approximately February ⤴ Busway Portal works are ongoing ⤴ Bridge decks are in place, and the crane will be moved so the busway can be completed. ⤴ Brookfield and Swan Streets will reopen this Friday evening, <p>Phase 8 Stafford Rd/Gympie Rd intersection</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⤴ Northbound traffic will go under the bridge and carry on towards the busway portal. ⤴ The realigned intersection will open this weekend ⤴ The left hand turn from Gympie Road will also go under the new bridge structure ⤴ Landscaping is underway, asphaltting is complete, there are some final 'tie ins' to be completed to open the intersection ⤴ Lights are in place ⤴ The new intersection will be 3.5 times the size of the current intersection. <p>Questions & Discussions:</p> <p>A CLG Member asked if Brookfield Road will reopen.</p> <p>TJH advised that yes it will reopen on Friday night as well as Swan Street and the U Turn at the end of Stafford Road will be operational. This was originally scheduled to happen in November but has been able to be brought forward.</p> <p>A CLG Member asked that if she was travelling from Chermside south where she would enter the tunnel.</p> <p>TJH advised that the entrance is just north of the intersection at Stafford Road opposite the Medical Centre, where you will be able to choose whether to head to the airport or the city</p> <p>A CLG Member noted that she has been watching the installation of the new busway bridge and asked if more land had been resumed, as the bridge seems to be closer to the units than the old bridge. Member asked if it will cover the footpath.</p> <p>TJH advised that the footpath will be reinstated. A retaining wall was installed and the piers were placed in on the wall. There has been no additional land resumption required.</p> <p>Member noted that it appears the piles on the brook look closer to the units than she thought they would be.</p> <p>TJH reiterated the design has not changed and the bridge is being built where it was always to be located.</p> <p>A CLG Member asked if the busway will curve around the building and confirmed with TJH there will still be a footpath. Member also asked if there will be footbridge access to the busway.</p> <p>TJH advised there will be a footpath and footbridge access to the busway.</p>		
			DC
			GB
			KM
			GB
			KM
			GB
			KM
			GB
			KM
			GB
			DC
			JG

MINUTES OF MEETING

Meeting Number 33

CLG	Kedron Community Liaison Group				
Location:	Visitor Information Centre, Lutwyche	Date:	14 September 2011	Time:	6:30pm

These minutes are not intended to be a verbatim account of the CLG meeting but are a summary of the key issues raised, actions arising from the meeting and outcomes of actions raised at previous meetings

	<p>A CLG Member noted that there was pile driving happening at the bottom of Perry Street with no hosing or water to keep the dust down. The member asked if there should have been water on site. TJH advised there will be moments where water cannot be used near piling works, as they cannot flood the hole with water. Water trucks continue to circulate on site. The drills used for pile driving and drilling become very when grinding rock which can create steam. Member asked if there is any way she can check what the dust levels were on the day in question. TJH advised the member can check the DERM website as they have real time monitors recording data.</p> <p>A CLG Member advised the website www.nearmap.com shows the tunnel network.</p> <p>A CLG Member advised that she is more interested in the upcoming works instead of what has happened. Member noted that she does not feel the notifications are received in a timely manner, to give enough warning of upcoming works. Member also noted that she does not feel night work notifications are sent out early enough for residents to make plans to go away for the weekend etc. TJH advised the construction has provided information about upcoming work, but a presentation providing a 2-3 month look ahead will be given at the next meeting.</p>	<p>ML</p> <p>KF</p> <p>ML</p> <p>KF</p> <p>KM</p> <p>DMC</p>
	<p>Environment Update - Presented by Kenneth Fyfe.</p> <p>Results:</p> <p>Air Quality was monitored at the following locations with 2 PM10 exceedances at Kedron SHS.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▲ Erskine Ave ▲ Perry Street ▲ Woolloowin SS ▲ Kedron SS <p>Dust deposition was monitored at the following locations with no exceedances</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ 228 Gympie Road ○ Perry Street ○ Erskine Ave ○ Kedron SS ○ Woolloowin SS <p>Noise monitoring was conducted at various locations with 7 exceedances for daytime noise in Erskine Ave during construction of the noise mitigation wall.</p> <p>Blast monitoring was conducted at the following locations with no exceedances</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Highgrove Bath & Tile – continuous monitoring ○ 16 Colton – continuous monitoring ○ CC211 North ○ CC216 North – Medical Centre & Energex Substation <p>Work that has been undertaken in the Brook includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Bridge removed 	<p>KF</p>

MINUTES OF MEETING

Meeting Number 33

CLG	Kedron Community Liaison Group				
Location:	Visitor Information Centre, Lutwyche	Date:	14 September 2011	Time:	6:30pm

These minutes are not intended to be a verbatim account of the CLG meeting but are a summary of the key issues raised, actions arising from the meeting and outcomes of actions raised at previous meetings

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Dust monitoring locations indicated in pictures - Where blast was occurring indicated in pictures. <p>Discussions:</p> <p>A CLG Member noted that the Fish windows are working well. TJH advised they are there to encourage fish and fauna to use the waterway.</p>	KM
	<p>General Business</p> <p>A CLG Member advised that Notification 1399 stated that a right turn into Strathmore Street would be installed. This turn is already in place. TJH advised the language in the notification is incorrect. The intent was to indicate that Strathmore can be used when the right turn at Cremorne Rd is closed.</p> <p>A CLG Member asked if the SMS reminder satisfies condition 9(n)(i) of the CoG conditions. CNI advised that in a broad sense yes. The condition does not specify what time or proximity to the blast. There have been comments that it would be better to send the updates closer to the blasting occurring.</p> <p>Member noted it does say the Project must notify every affected resident but the SMS' are only reaching those who signed up. The conditions state that all people who are adversely affected must be notified. The SMS notifications are not reaching all affected people. TJH advised that the term adversely affected is not defined within the conditions. TJH have taken a broader approach to notify all residents that may experience the blast. The notice is distributed to over 1500 people and it clearly states that blasting will occur daily during the period notified for. The SMS alert is an additional reminder for those that would like it.</p> <p>A CLG Member asked if TJH was reporting on the blast that happened after 4.30 this afternoon. TJH will investigate.</p> <p>A CLG member noted that she had requested a daily phone call to advise her about the blasting and as a person who does not carry a mobile phone she assumes there has been no blasting as she has not received an SMS or a phone call as requested. TJH reiterated that the notifications advise that blasting will occur daily for the notified period and that the SMS is an additional reminder for residents that want to subscribe to it. TJH will not be making personal phone calls to the CLG member every day about blasting.</p> <p>A CLG Member raised an issue that was sent to him from an absent member regarding the reopening of Brookfield Road and her concerns with the footpath. TJH confirmed with the member that this is the section heading to the medical centre and advised that a written response has been forwarded to the member.</p> <p>A CLG Member advised she is still unsatisfied with the response time and with the standard of responses that are received from TJH and CNI in response to queries. Member advised she has provided other CLG Members with an email. Facilitator advised she has been reading through the emails and has noticed there are incidences of conversations being undertaken with TJH reps that have since left and their emails are no longer accessible. Facilitator asked that everyone contact TJH through the public email so it is a matter of record.</p> <p>Member noted that personal responses have been received from previous TJH staff. TJH advised they are aware of the issue and all current staff have been instructed to use the</p>	<p>MB</p> <p>DMC</p> <p>MB</p> <p>LL</p> <p>MB</p> <p>DMC</p> <p>MB KF</p> <p>KM</p> <p>DMC</p> <p>MB</p> <p>JG</p> <p>KM</p> <p>BS</p> <p>KM DMC</p>

MINUTES OF MEETING

Meeting Number 33

CLG	Kedron Community Liaison Group				
Location:	Visitor Information Centre, Lutwyche	Date:	14 September 2011	Time:	6:30pm

These minutes are not intended to be a verbatim account of the CLG meeting but are a summary of the key issues raised, actions arising from the meeting and outcomes of actions raised at previous meetings

	<p>public email address only. Member advised she will be removing the issue about condition reports from General Business and will contact other residents directly.</p> <p>A CLG Member advised she is concerned about the increase in surface work after 6pm and the new response from TJH that they are allowed to do it. TJH advised this work is allowed under condition 7b and has been occurring across the project for some time. DM added that this issue was the subject of the recent Ombudsman's report which concluded that the work was in accordance with the conditions. CNI added that the CLG has previously discussed this condition and a letter from the CoG was provided to members by the Facilitator last year.</p> <p>A CLG Member noted that the conditions mention respite and alternative accommodation. Noise is now being generated 24/7. Member asked what is meant by respite. The Facilitator and TJH will prepare a response for the member.</p> <p>ACTION: CNI and TJH to respond to member with a definition of what respite means in the CoG Conditions.</p> <p>A CLG Member noted she still has issues with the noise wall in Perry Street. CNI advised they will contact the member directly.</p> <p>ACTION: CNI to contact ML about the noise wall at Perry Street.</p>	<p>KM</p> <p>KM</p> <p>KF</p> <p>DMC</p> <p>LL</p> <p>KM</p> <p>ML</p> <p>LL</p>
	<p>Community Relations Update – Presented by Jodie Grant</p> <p>The presentation was not made at the CLG Meeting due to time constraints.</p> <p>Community Feedback:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⤴ There were 139 Complaints for August ⤴ There were 88 Issues/enquiries for August ⤴ A majority of complaints stemmed from Site Noise out of Hours <p>Kedron Community Notifications:</p> <p>Nine notifications were distributed in Kedron during August including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ⤴ Kedron – Construction and Services Update ⤴ Stafford Road widening works ⤴ Gympie Road reinstatement work ⤴ Vegetation Removal – Gympie Road ⤴ Stafford Road/Gympie Road Steel beam girder installation ⤴ Machinery Removal <p><i>A total of 14,550 notifications</i></p>	

MINUTES OF MEETING

Meeting Number 33

CLG	Kedron Community Liaison Group				
Location:	Visitor Information Centre, Lutwyche	Date:	14 September 2011	Time:	6:30pm

These minutes are not intended to be a verbatim account of the CLG meeting but are a summary of the key issues raised, actions arising from the meeting and outcomes of actions raised at previous meetings

	<p>Doorknocks regarding:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✦ Stafford Road widening works ✦ Gympie Road service works ✦ Piling activities south of the Kedron Brook ✦ Gympie Road widening works ✦ <p>Other activities:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ✦ Mitigation was proactively offered, including temporary relocation during night works as appropriate ✦ The Community and Environment Teams continue to organise dust and noise monitoring in the precinct 	
--	--	--

Next Meeting:

Location: Visitor Information Centre, Lutwyche **Date:** 12 October 2011 **Time:** 6.30pm