

MINUTES OF MEETING

Meeting Number 15

CLG	Woolloowin Community Liaison Group				
Location:	Airport Link Information Centre, Lutwyche Centro	Date:	03/05/2011	Time:	6.00pm

These minutes are not intended to be a verbatim account of the CLG meeting but are a summary of the key issues raised, actions arising from the meeting and outcomes of actions raised at previous meeting.

Chaired By: M Salisbury	Minuted By: Thiess John Holland	Distribution Date: XX May 2011
--------------------------------	--	---------------------------------------

Attendees: Melissa Salisbury (Independent Facilitator), John Lister, Peter Schofield, Ron Apelt
Project Team Members in Attendance: Mario Buterin – TJH, Anthea Craig – TJH, Carol Smith – TJH, Lauren Diamond – CNI, Charles MacDonald – BrisConnections,
Apologies: Paul Croke – CNI
Not Present: Nil

Summary
INTRODUCTION
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Meeting opened and apologies were noted.
ACTION ITEMS/UPDATE
Action 1 – Responses to issues raised by a CLG member following meeting three regarding settlement.
Discussions and questions arising
A CLG Member advised that he has coordinated with the Facilitator and has advised her of the questions. TJH advised that the settlement monitoring results will be presented at a future meeting. TJH further advised the TBM's have been in the cavern.
A CLG Member asked if the TBM's are still in the cavern. TJH advised there is about half the machine in the left cavern and a little more in the right cavern. TJH further advised the machine is about 200m long.
Brisconnections advised that the TBM's are excavating the base and removing the lower section of the cavern.
TJH further advised they are installing services, footings and drainage.
Action 2 – Provide information about how many properties are owned by the state government along the Airport Link corridor and what the plans are for retention/release of the resumed properties.
Discussions and questions arising: The CLG Member advised that he was satisfied with the information previously provided.
Action 3 – Provide a copy or viewing of SKM Connell Wagner 2006 EIS report, plus a response to why the tunnel is going through contaminated land under Melrose Park.

MINUTES OF MEETING

Meeting Number 15

CLG	Wooloowin Community Liaison Group				
Location:	Airport Link Information Centre, Lutwyche Centro	Date:	03/05/2011	Time:	6.00pm

Summary

The Facilitator confirmed that the CLG Member will formally write to CNI in relation to this issues.

TJH confirmed that the issue is not relevant to the Wooloowin Worksite which is why the request is being made to CNI direct.

The Facilitator confirmed that the action would be closed once the Member has confirmed that the letter has been sent.

Action 4 – TJH to provide a link to the complaints process documented on the website.

TJH included the link in the minutes of the previous meeting.

Action 5 - TJH to remove former members names from the website.

TJH have removed the former members names.

Action 6 - Facilitator to follow up with absent CLG member about his preference for montly or two-monthly meetings.

A CLG Member noted that he preferred monthly meetings, as this is what the community preferred, so issues important to them can be raised in a timely manner.

TJH advised that the project provides a number of avenues for the community to provide feedback including the 24 hour Communtiy Hotline, email, Information Session and project web site. last month there was not enough members planning to attend to hold the meeting.

Consideration should also be given to the Members availability given that last month's meeting was cancelled due to the lack of attendees.

A CLG Member advised that community members are concerned that their issues will be grouped with the other complaints received if they use other means and feel that the CLG gives them a chance to be heard in a different more direct forum. Member acknowledged that there are alot of resources involved in preparing the meetings and asked if proxies could be considered.

TJH advised that there are currently seven vacancies on this CLG and suggested that calling for Expressions of Interest may be of greater benefit as opposed to proxies.

A CLG Member advised that other worksites have a much greater impact than the Wooloowin Worksite and that as a cyclist he is more greatly impacted by the Bowen Hills site, where construction has been taking place for six years (Clem 7 construction included), than he is by Wooloowin. Member would prefer to receive an update about work activities on a bi-monthly or quarterly basis. Members are becoming very familiar with all of the reports provided. The project is now at the stage where residents are not affected by much. There is the upcoming demobilisation, however this will be a similar process to how the site was established. Member does not believe that the activities and associated impacts of the worksite warrant monthly meetings and that members are familisar enough with the reports to pose any questions via other avenues. Member does not feel there is a need for an expression of interest or proxy's. Member is also happy to meet every two months.

MINUTES OF MEETING

Meeting Number 15

CLG	Woolloowin Community Liaison Group				
Location:	Airport Link Information Centre, Lutwyche Centro	Date:	03/05/2011	Time:	6.00pm

Summary

A CLG Member noted that he is still receiving complaints via email and also photos. Member wants to know how community members can get their complaints and points across.

Facilitator advised that she feels the member is trying to say that work has reached its maximum impact.

A CLG Member advised that he feels the demobilisation will have an impact on residents and the CLG meetings need to address future activities.

TJH advised that what will occur during demobilisation will be the reverse of site establishment. TJH is not expecting any drastic changes in the construction climate at Woolloowin.

A CLG Member advised that he doesn't mind either way, but feels that it needs to be an unanimous decision to meet every two months. Member does not want to stand in the way of someone wanting to air issues monthly. In terms of extra members, he would not stand in the way of someone new that wants to join and contribute. His concern is that, new members may want to go over old ground which would be a waste of time. The meetings need to focus on current and future issues. Member feels it is not appropriate to raise old issues again.

Facilitator asked members if they felt if an expression of interest was conducted and there are more members, will it be better to meet more or less frequently.

CLG Member advised that he feels the more people are informed the more regular the meetings should be.

TJH advised that meetings will continue monthly and that an expression of interest process would be completed.

ACTION: TJH to release an expression of interest for more Woolloowin CLG Members. The frequency of meetings will be reassessed upon completion.

A CLG Member asked if there was anything in the Terms of Reference about meeting frequency.

The Facilitator advised that the frequency of meetings is not determined by the Terms of Reference and that this is assessed as the Project progresses. At present the Lutwyche / Windsor CLG is held bi-monthly.

A CLG Member noted that he is aware of people who were excluded from the CLG.

TJH advised that it was not aware of any community members who were excluded from the initial Expression of Interest process and that community members who initially expressed interest had had an opportunity to participate in the group.

The Member clarified that he was referring to the Terms of Reference which excluded government representatives.

CONSTRUCTION UPDATE A briefing paper was tabled by Mario Buterin, Project Manager, Thiess John Holland. The briefing paper is provided as an appendix to these minutes.

TJH advised that since the last meeting, Woolloowin worksite construction activities completed until the end of April include:

- Completed the relaunch of east and westbound TBM's

MINUTES OF MEETING

Meeting Number 15

CLG	Woolloowin Community Liaison Group				
Location:	Airport Link Information Centre, Lutwyche Centro	Date:	03/05/2011	Time:	6.00pm

Summary

- Completed waterproof lining and shotcrete lining to substation
- Completed waterproof lining and shotcrete lining to low point sump
- Commencement of waterproof lining installation into the eastbound cavern
- Continuation of post TBM civil works, footings and drainage.

In the coming months, activities at the Woolloowin worksite will include:

- Continuation of waterproof lining to cavern
- Continuation of civil constructions, footings, drainage etc
- Construction of directional drill connections between sump and caverns
- Excavation of lower pump chamber in sump to create a pumping chamber
- Commencement of arch lining form build – delivery in the eastbound cavern.

Discussions and questions arising from the presentation:

A CLG Member asked about the delivery process for precast concrete and if roads will need to be closed.

TJH advised that some large form parts will be lowered and some will be driven in through the tunnel entry at Toombul. Deliveries via Woolloowin will come in on vehicles similarly sized as a semi-trailer.

A CLG Member asked if deliveries will need to be made at night time.

TJH advised that it does not anticipate night time deliveries of precast concrete at Woolloowin.

A CLG Member advised that he has noticed an increase of truck movements along Rose St and Junction Road. The Member does not believe they are associated with the Woolloowin Worksite and asked if they would be accessing other parts of the project.

TJH advised that spoil haulage from the Woolloowin Worksite is infrequent at the moment. Material excavated for drainage and footings is stockpiled then hauled when there is a certain amount. There has been a lot of concrete placed and a lot of spraying of shotcrete, but not enough to mean a noticeable increase in trucks. Woolloowin Worksite personnel do not have knowledge of activities taking place at other areas.

Brisconnections further advised that 8000m³ of flowable fill was being delivered to the Kedron Brook Building to back fill the excavation in front of the building. The majority of these trucks have accessed the worksite via Gympie Road.

A CLG Member advised that the trucks were moving westbound along Rose Street and Junction Road back towards Kedron.

TJH confirmed the type of trucks being noticed eg. concrete trucks, truck and dogs, body trucks, water trucks etc.

TJH advised it is hard to say what is occurring at each site across the project in relation to specific deliveries however, while spoil haulage is not allowed on Junction Road (except Woolloowin) there are no other restrictions. The majority of spoil haulage for the project is now complete so more deliveries are being made as the tunnel is being fitted out.

A CLG Member noted that he feels if the project is using more vehicles for a specific reason then it would be appropriate to inform residents along those routes. The increase in vehicle movements along those roads has been noticeable but did note that he has been pleasantly surprised for some time that the volume of trucks was not what he had expected. The Member also commented that he had not followed a truck to see where it was going.

MINUTES OF MEETING

Meeting Number 15

CLG	Woolloowin Community Liaison Group				
Location:	Airport Link Information Centre, Lutwyche Centro	Date:	03/05/2011	Time:	6.00pm

Summary

Another member noted that another resident had followed a truck and a complaint has been lodged. Member advised that no, it was not in relation to Woolloowin, but the truck had been followed to the Kedron site, where it turned right at Kedron Bowls Club then into Leckie road and entered via Gate 17. There has been a lot of truck movement and there is a concern amongst residents about the amount of Shotcrete.

TJH advised that spoil haulage is decreasing but there is more equipment going into the tunnels and that Junction road is an arterial road.

TJH advised they will look at their broader communication and if they can update the planned phase changes and expected vehicle movements.

A CLG Member noted that speed is still an issue, drivers are speeding trying to get lights at Rose Street and Kent Road.

ACTION: TJH to look at the broader communication of vehicle movements.

BrisConnections advised member that 'Truck and Dog' movements west from Gympie Road were most likely delivering material to the Kedron Site.

TJH advised that conditions are considered in routes but noted that back fill is not considered to be a spoil haulage.

A CLG Member asked if the TBM is currently under the school and if the footings will be removed after the TBM moves through.

TJH advised the TBM is under the school complex and advised that the footings displayed in the picture, the ones on the far side will stay and the ones on the near side will be removed.

ENVIRONMENT UPDATE A briefing paper was tabled by Carol Smith, Environment Officer, Thies John Holland. The briefing paper is provided as an appendix to these minutes.

Results are also posted to the project website:

Noise monitoring

Monitoring was conducted at 71 Park Road and noise levels were below the Coordinator General's goals

Air quality monitoring

Monitoring conducted at 68 & 71 Park Road and results for PM2.5, PM10 and TSP were below CoG's goals. Gas levels from the site are below CoG's goals

Vibration

Monitoring conducted at 71 Park Road during the reporting period, with results under the Coordinator General's goals.

TJH further advised that there was an issue with the Air Quality Monitor, while they waited for a sensor probe to arrive.

MINUTES OF MEETING

Meeting Number 15

CLG	Woolloowin Community Liaison Group				
Location:	Airport Link Information Centre, Lutwyche Centro	Date:	03/05/2011	Time:	6.00pm

Summary

A CLG Member asked if the time frame for this was three weeks

TJH advised that yes, it can take weeks by the time they contact their repair person, who in turn contacts the relevant repairer who then attends site and investigates the issue. If required the monitors are also taken offsite to a work shop for repair. If possible TJH will deliver and pick up the monitors to speed this process where possible.

The limits for monitoring gas were set when it was expected there would be generators onsite. TJH ended up providing mains power to the site mitigating the need for generators.

Discussion and questions arising from the presentation

A CLG Member asked if there are filters on the ventilation buildings.

TJH advised that the shed is completely sealed. The louvre's are covered in plastic and the outlets are sealed on the roof. The doors are sealed as well. There is one pedestrian door open to let a small amount of air in. TJH advised that air is drawn in at Toombul and is vented out at Kedron and there are no filters on the shed at Woolloowin.

A CLG Member asked if the same device monitors for PM2.5 and TSP.

TJH advised that yes the same machine is used for PM2.5 and TSP and the head is changed. There are 2 monitors side by side one measures PM10 full time and the second alternates PM2.5 with TSP.

A CLG Member asked if both devices reflect the down time.

TJH advised that the PM10 monitoring device was used for both months reported. There are two lots of PM2.5 results, this is the second monitor that also monitors TSP. Some of the gaps in the PM2.5 results are where TSP was monitored.

TJH advised there was one time where both monitors were down. They were sent for repair. TJH advised that there are many different occurrences that can affect the monitors e.g. a rain event, when the monitors will give the same reading for 3 or 4 days in a row you can identify that the machine is faulty and is giving a false result. By looking at the results you can see that the same result is not provided everyday.

A CLG Member confirmed with TJH that recording of a consistent result becomes suspicious.

TJH advised that if the monitor returns the exact same result for a number of days that this generally means that there is a problem with the monitoring unit.

A CLG Member asked how the results are validated, is the equipment sent away for calibration.

TJH advised that they calibrate the monitors themselves and that the same result indicates an error.

A CLG Member noted that he feels that any construction in any area will create dust issues and noted that the figures provided are indicating tolerance levels. Member asked if there is more dust and if so, is it from construction or is more dust being generated from the roads.

TJH advised that during the Request For Project Change process that it's activities were clearly defined. The Coordinator General's conditions restrict the amount of pollution that is to be generated as a result of the worksite's operation. The Environment report is a measure of the conditions that are imposed.

MINUTES OF MEETING

Meeting Number 15

CLG	Woolloowin Community Liaison Group				
Location:	Airport Link Information Centre, Lutwyche Centro	Date:	03/05/2011	Time:	6.00pm

Summary

A CLG Member noted that he understands there is difficulty in monitoring, but the question from the public point of view is that there is a perception that there is more dust. Is there an impact?

TJH confirmed with the member that he is asking if there are more particulates.

BrisConnections advised that there is some finite impact.

TJH advised that it is not possible to construct a project the size of Airport Link without an impact to the surrounding community. Dust will be generated throughout construction and this is permitted provided TJH mitigates this impact in accordance with the Coordinator General's conditions. The project is also conditioned to have processes in place to mitigate the impact should an issue arise. The conditions recognise that there will be an impact during construction and those conditions provide a line in the sand for TJH to meet goals and respond accordingly if a goal is exceeded.

A CLG Member asked about the location of the Park Rd monitor as residents are concerned about the dust monitor and noted that residents feel that results do not reflect the impact.

TJH advised that there is an external auditor who makes sure monitors are in an appropriate location.

TJH further advised that if a resident makes a complaint, then monitoring can be conducted at the residents property provided the location meets the appropriate standards and requirements.

A CLG Member asked that if a house is dirty can it be cleaned.

TJH advised that its dust mitigation to date is meeting its requirements. TJH advised that when a request for cleaning is made, an assessment is made with consideration for monitoring results, construction activities and duration of activities. In some instances, consideration is also given for personal circumstances.

A CLG Member asked if the monitors are down for three weeks is that a breach.

TJH advised that it is not required to monitor every day.

There are requirements for how they respond to issues and if they do not respond correctly, then this may be considered to be a breach of conditions. TJH advised the process is if there is an exceedance of noise, for example, it will investigate. and a non compliance report is prepared, further information is added detailing mitigation and the resolution.

TJH advised that a non conformance was raised against regarding the breakdown of the monitors and not being able to monitor.

Member noted that he understands that breakdowns happen, but asked what happens under the goals when monitoring is not undertaken.

TJH advised that DERM and CNI conduct frequent assessments and audits to ensure that TJH are reporting and responding appropriately.

An assessment of monitoring report trends may also be taken into consideration.

ACTION: TJH to investigate and find out if non monitoring is a breach.

A CLG Member reported that they have been advised of loud bangs that have been heard at about 4-5am

MINUTES OF MEETING

Meeting Number 15

CLG	Woolloowin Community Liaison Group				
Location:	Airport Link Information Centre, Lutwyche Centro	Date:	03/05/2011	Time:	6.00pm

Summary

and asked what they are.

TJH advised that it was aware of a complaint made in relation to this however the cause had not been identified. It was confirmed that it wasn't a result of the Woolloowin Worksite.

TJH advised the member to make sure the hotline is called straight away so that it can be actioned for investigation immediately. This is especially important during the night shift, as the staff on night shift, tend to go straight home to bed, and it is essential that TJH contact site to speak to a supervisor straight away.

A CLG Member advised that he had received a complaint that the gate at Kent Road is squeaky.

TJH actioned this issue during the meeting.

A CLG Member asked BrisConnections if there was any chance of the project finishing early.

BrisConnections advised that the chances of finishing early are fairly slim as construction is not ahead of schedule. However, the project team is determined to meet the deadline and complete the project on time. If the project does finish early it will not be significantly early.

COMMUNITY RELATIONS UPDATE A briefing paper was tabled by Anthea Craig, Community Liaison Coordinator, Thiess John Holland. The briefing paper is provided as an appendix to these minutes.

TJH advised that the Community Liaison Officer for Woolloowin has transferred to the Bowen Hills Precinct as the Community Liaison Officer.

For the Woolloowin Community there were 2 Issues/Enquiries from 2 Stakeholders and 5 Complaints from 5 Stakeholders.

For the Woolloowin Worksite there were 6 Issues/Enquiries from 4 Stakeholders and 5 Complaints from 2 Stakeholders.

COMMUNITY CONSULTATION:

Notifications

- 1207 – Community Information Session – 19 March 2011 (2 March 2011)
- 944r – Tunnelling Update – Tunnel Boring Machines (10 March 2011)
- 944r – Tunnelling Update – Tunnel Boring Machines (29 March 2011)
- 944r – Tunnelling Update – Tunnel Boring Machines (28 April 2011)

Doorknocks:

The Community Relations Team (CRT) doorknocked residents to advise of work occurring on the Rose Street footpath to install an additional sign for the Urban Mitigation Plan.

Residents along the Tunnel Boring Machine alignment were also doorknocked to advise tunnel progress and cross passage works in the immediate vicinity of their property.

OTHER:

Community Information Session – 19 March 2011

MINUTES OF MEETING

Meeting Number 15

CLG	Woolloowin Community Liaison Group				
Location:	Airport Link Information Centre, Lutwyche Centro	Date:	03/05/2011	Time:	6.00pm

Summary

The Woolloowin Worksites third Community Information Session was held on Saturday 19 March and was attended by 13 people. The CRT received feedback from residents regarding trucks travelling in local streets including Gorman Street, Clarke Street, Thorpe Street and Rosleigh Street. TJH believes these truck movements related to work being carried out by BCC on Lodge Road.

Positive feedback was received from a resident about a decrease in the amount of dust following the reduction in spoil haulage from the worksite.

Temporary monitoring of tunnel alignment

Surveying of ground conditions continues along footpaths, roadways and where required in private properties with the permission of the property owners.

TBM breakthrough

A media event for the 'Sandy' TBM breakthrough attended by the Deputy Premier was held 1 March with positive media coverage received.

Environmental monitoring

The CRT continues to offer internal noise and air quality monitoring to local residents to identify, sources and levels to ensure appropriate action is taken to minimise the impact of the worksite, as required.

Discussions and questions arising:

A CLG Member asked if the complaints come from regular complainants.

TJH advised that yes the complainants are consistent.

Facilitator asked if there has been a drop in the number of complaints

TJH advised that yes there has been a drop in complaints.

A CLG Member asked how long monitors are left in place to retrieve reasonable results.

TJH advised that dust fall out is measured over 30 Days and that there are certain criteria to meet, such as the proximity to site, arterial roads and other construction impacts.

The Member advised that he would be interested in dust monitoring at his property if he is eligible.

ACTION: TJH to contact member and assess his property for dust monitoring.

A CLG Member asked if there will be any more signs displayed about the Urban Mitigation there has been concern raised by residents that they may cause distraction for motorists.

TJH confirmed with the member that he is referring to the signs for Urban Mitigation. TJH advised that the signs are designed to inform the community about future plans for the site.

TJH advised that they have spoken to the BCC as there have been a number of policies and licensing about having an arrow on the sign, TJH will advise if there are any further issues that arise from the BCC.

TJH advised that the signs have been installed similar to those installed for and by other businesses in the area.

TJH advised while it does not intend installing any further signs at this stage there could be more signage, as it is similar to business advertising.

ACTION: TJH to advise on any new issues that arise from the BCC in relation to the Urban Mitigation signs.

MINUTES OF MEETING

Meeting Number 15

CLG	Wooloowin Community Liaison Group				
Location:	Airport Link Information Centre, Lutwyche Centro	Date:	03/05/2011	Time:	6.00pm

Summary

General Business

Facilitator tabled the letter regarding Silica that was previously forward to members on behalf of CNI.

A CLG Member asked if the tests were carried after the issues about silica dust were raised and if best practice was in place. Member believes that the presence of silica would have been known and identified during the geotechnical investigations for the project. Member would like to know if monitoring was in place during construction or only after the Silica Dust was identified.

TJH advised that its monitoring of silica levels within the tunnels has been ongoing since the commencement of tunnelling. This monitoring is carried out at static locations and also at mobile locations.

BrisConnections asked for clarification as to whether the member was referring to monitoring within the tunnel or of the atmosphere.

A CLG Member advised that he is interested in the atmosphere results as this would be a truer representation of the amount of silica dust in the atmosphere prior to construction. The Member clarified that there are two issues: 1. the safety of the workers at Wooloowin and Bowen Hills and 2. what measures are in place inside and outside the tunnel. Member advised he is concerned about he long term health impacts of silica dust exposure.

CNI advised that any airborne dust level exceedances would show up in the projects dust monitoring (PM10)

A CLG Member asked what precautions are taken underground.

TJH advised that the precautionary measures are considered for the activity, the location of the activity and proximity of personnel. It relies on good ventilation primarily and after that PPE including filters and breathing apparatus. The type of protective equipment differs depending on the location and the task being undertaken.

There is also regular gas and dust monitoring conducted on a monthly basis which is tailored to a specific location and works. A lot of these sessions included monitoring carried out in the shed, whilst different activities were carried out. The air quality variances monitored can give an indication of what types of activities cause the varying levels of impact.

CNI advised that the letter was provided to inform the community that only high levels of silica over a long period of time would cause an adverse impact and to ensure that the community is not alarmed unnecessarily.

DERM has started an investigation and will be streaming the monitoring results live to their website. The monitoring program is being undertaken by DERM in response to complaint made by a community member.

A CLG Member confirmed with CNI that one complaint triggered the above response.

CNI advised that a complaint from a community member triggered the DERM investigation.

TJH advised that it was standard practice for an authority to investigate complaints.

CNI advised that it is a standard DERM response.

BrisConnections noted that silica has been monitored as a part of standard procedures and is not an issue for external air quality. The external quality is minute and is not required to be monitored as part of the project conditions compared to what occurs inside the tunnel environment where monitoring is undertaken for workplace, health and safety.

A CLG Member noted that information on silica is sparse worldwide, issues with silica in Washington DC have been addressed by installing filtration systems. Member is concerned that the issues with silica

MINUTES OF MEETING

Meeting Number 15

CLG	Woolloowin Community Liaison Group				
Location:	Airport Link Information Centre, Lutwyche Centro	Date:	03/05/2011	Time:	6.00pm

Summary

dust may turn out to be similar to asbestos, as it was thought you would need long term exposure to asbestos to be affected.

A CLG Member asked CNI / BrisConnections if the work to establish the Pocket Park is included in the TJH timeline for rehabilitation.

TJH advised that the worksite will be rehabilitated upon completion of the 29 months and that establishment of the Pocket Park will commence after this time.

BrisConnections advised that this work is not conducted by TJH.

CNI advised that it is in the process of appointing architects to begin the process and hope to deliver items as soon as possible after the design is complete and approvals are in place. CNI hopes that work on items separate to the Woolloowin Worksite will start before the end of year. Need to work with BCC to ensure the plans and crossings at Shaw Road can be delivered.

A CLG Member asked who owns the land where the Woolloowin Worksite is at the moment.

CNI advised that the State Government owned the site and that it will need to transferred to BCC, The transfer is still a part of the process.

ACTION: CNI to report back on the tenure of the land.

A CLG Member asked what state the worksite will be left in.

TJH advised that the site will be left in the same state as it was before construction started.

A CLG Member noted there have been some concerns raised about penalties if the project is delivered late. Member asked if there are bonus' if the project is delivered early.

TJH confirmed that the members queries relate to recent media which refers to Leighton Holdings and that any enquiries relating to media reports should be directed to Leighton Holdings.

A CLG Member asked if piles will be broken back to 2m into the earth.

TJH advised that yes they will be and that the rehabilitation of the site will be completed in accordance with the conditions.

A CLG Member asked if there is a flow chart that shows the process of what happens when a resident has an issue or makes a complaint.

TJH advised that complaints are recorded, investigated and the stakeholder advised of the outcome of the investigation. This may involve monitoring and subsequent mitigative actions. If the resident is not satisfied they can escalate the issue to CNI. If there is a property issue, the Property Management Team contacts the stakeholder, who inspects the damage for comparison with the building condition report. Consideration for environmental monitoring results, construction activities and other factors, external to the project may also be considered.

A CLG Member tabled an enquiry received from a community members regarding the property damage process (Attached to these minutes).

ACTION: CNI to provide a response.

A CLG Member asked about the response to questions about incentives to use the tunnel by local stakeholders.

BrisConnections advised they have raised the question, the person in charge has been on leave and only just returned. BrisConnections will raise the issue with the person and report back at the next meeting.

MINUTES OF MEETING

Meeting Number 15

CLG	Woolloowin Community Liaison Group				
Location:	Airport Link Information Centre, Lutwyche Centro	Date:	03/05/2011	Time:	6.00pm

Summary

ACTION: BrisConnections to report back to member on tunnel incentives.

A CLG Member asked if the jasmine that had been stolen would be replaced.

TJH advised that yes one was stolen so it was replaced.

A CLG Member asked what triggers a Change Request e.g. The Woolloowin Worksite, 71 Park Road and car parks.

TJH advised that it has advised the circumstances of its use of 71 Park Road through a number of avenues, including previous CLGs and that it is a separate property lease between TJH and DTMR.

A CLG Member advised that the community is concerned that another Change request may be necessary and would like to know how this would work.

BrisConnections advised that if a significant change to construction required then TJH or BrisConnections raise it with CNI. The request for a change has to be put forward by CNI as the Project Proponent and that the Coordinator General would then decide if further public consultation is necessary.

A CLG Member asked if there needs to be a significant change or dramatic cost increase to trigger a request.

ACTION: CNI to report back on what triggers a Change Request

A CLG Member asked what the concern is with TJH's use of 71 Park Road

Member advised that the issue was that all workers were to be transported to site in a bus. There are other places along the tunnel alignment where residents are concerned about what will trigger another change eg, found a burial site etc which will mean a cease in construction.

A CLG Member supported the use of 71 Park Road for parking by TJH personnel.

A CLG Member asked if there is anything in the foreseeable future that impact the delivery date.

BrisConnections advised that it is not expecting any further changes will be made.

Next meeting scheduled Tuesday, 7 June 2011 5:45pm for 6pm



Meeting dates for rest of the year:

7 June 2011
5 July 2011
2 August 2011
6 September 2011
4 October 2011
1 November 2011
6 December 2011

INBOXYou are signed in as: [REDACTED] **SIGN
OUT**Folder: **CHECK
MESSAGES**

Delete | Reply | Reply to all | Forward | Print

Back to INBOX <>

Sent: Tue May 3 15:26:40 2011**Received:** Tue May 3 15:26:41 2011**From:** [REDACTED]**To:** Ron [REDACTED]**Subject:** **damage to property as a result of wooloowin work site activities****Part(s):**  [Message Source](#)  [Save As](#)

dear ron

if it is not too late could you ask the c.o.g. representative tonight what is the procedure that a resident can follow if he has a property survey carried out and notices cracking etc. that was not in the original survey. after bringing it to the attention of tjh they were advised that tjh was not responsible because their predictive modelling and testing indicates that the damage could not have been caused by them. it must be other reasons.

as you are aware section 28.4 of the c.o.g.'s approval covers this but it doesn't say who determines the claim.

- a. cni;
- b. tjh/bris connection;
- c. c.o.g.

is there any appeal rights for the applicant apart from civil action in the courts if he disagrees with their decision. how long after the completion of the tunnel are people eligible to claim.

as this has ramifications for us all, i would appreciate if you could seek some clarification on these points.

thanking you.

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
3.5.11

Delete | Reply | Reply to all | Forward | Print

Back to INBOX <>

powered by

Construction Update

Mario Buterin, Project Manager

smarter ways to move

